

United States Patent and Trademark Office

6

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------|--|
| 10/840,192 | 05/06/2004 | Shigenori Fujikawa | SHIGA7.088AUS | 7251 | |
| | 7590 09/21/2007 RTENS OLSON & BEA | | EXAM | INER | |
| 2040 MAIN ST | REET | · | LEE, EDMUND H | | |
| FOURTEENTI IRVINE, CA 92 | | | SHIGA7.088AUS 7251 EXAMINER LEE, EDMUND H ART UNIT PAPER NUME 1732 NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVERY MO | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | 1732 | • | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 09/21/2007 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Office Action Summany | | FUJIKAWA ET AL. | UJIKAWA ET AL. | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | *************************************** | | |
| | EDMUND H. LEE | 1732 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address - | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wa - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo cause the application to become | IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) | | | |
| Status | • | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | • | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | |
| <u> </u> | this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | · | · | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | · | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement. | • | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) objected to | by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attach | ed Office Action or form PTO-152 | <u>.</u> . | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in | Application No | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have bee | n received in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies no | ot received. | | | |
| | | • | | | |
| Attachment(s) | . — | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | , — | Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice o | Informal Patent Application | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | • | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/840,192 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12, drawn to production method for a nanomaterial, classified in class 264, subclass 259.
 - II. Claims 13-22, drawn to metal oxide nanomaterial, classified in class 428, subclass 408.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as using the material as a component of a molding material as opposed to a template.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/840,192

Art Unit: 1732

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/840,192

Art Unit: 1732

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571.272.1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1732

EDMUND H. LEE Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

EHL

M Walled